arXiv:1605.09190v2 [cs.DS] 1 Jun 2016

A Polynomial Time Graph Isomorphism Algorithm For Graphs That Are Not Locally Triangle-Free

Fahad Bin Mortuza *
Department of Computer Science And Engineering,
East West University.

May 30, 2016.

Abstract

In this paper, we show the existence of a polynomial time graph isomorphism algorithm for all graphs excluding graphs that are *locally triangle-free*. This particular class of graphs allows to divide the graph into *neighbourhood* sub-graph where each of induced sub-graph (neighbourhood) has at least 2 vertices. We construct all possible permutations for each induced sub-graph using a search tree. We construct automorphisms of subgraphs based on these permutations. Finally, we decide isomorphism through automorphisms .

Keywords: Graph, Isomorphism, Individualization Refinement, Search Tree.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C60 .

1 Introduction

Given two graphs G and H, the Graph Isomorphism problem (GI) asks whether there exists a bijection from the vertices of G to the vertices of H that preserves adjacency. The graph isomorphism problem has a long history in the fields of mathematics, chemistry, and computing science. The problem is known to be in NP, but is not known to be in **P** or **NP-complete**. The best current theoretical algorithm is due to Babai and Luks (1983)[**2**]. The algorithm relies on the classification of finite simple groups. In 2015, Laszlo Babai claimed that the Graph Isomorphism problem can be solved in quasipolynomial time.

*Email:secondprime@yahoo.com

1.1 Notations and Definitions

Let G and H be two graphs. Each graph has n vertices. The *cardinality* of a set B is the number of elements in it, denoted by $|B|$. For example, $|G| = |H| = n$.

The automorphism group of graph G_1 will be denoted by $Aut(G_1)$ The *neighbourhood* of a vertex v in a graph G is the induced subgraph of G consisting of all vertices adjacent to v.

The neighbourhood is denoted $N_G(v)$ or (when the graph is unambiguous) $N(v)$. If the neighbourhood does not include v itself then it is open neighbourhood of v; it is also possible to define a neighbourhood in which v itself is included, called the closed neighbourhood and denoted by $N_G[v]$.

If all vertices in G have neighbourhoods that are isomorphic to the same graph G_1, then G is said to be *locally* G_1, and if all vertices in G have neighbourhoods that belong to some graph family $\mathcal{F}, G$ is said to be locally $\mathcal{F}$ (Hell 1978, Sedlacek 1983).

A permutation of a vertex set G is a bijection from G to itself. For example, if $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3\ldots & n \\ 5 & 2 & 7\ldots & 11 \end{pmatrix}$, then the first vertex of G moves to fifth position in the G^π . $Sym(G)$ or S_n denotes the set of all permutations of G.

If G is Isomorphic to H,then $\exists P \in S_n$(Symmetric Group of n vertices) such that $H^P = G$(notation by Wielandt). We write $G \simeq H$ when G is Isomorphic to H.

A *tuple* is a finite ordered list of vertices.

A *search tree* is an undirected graph in which any two vertices are connected by exactly one path.A *rooted search tree* is a tree in which one vertex has been designated the root.The tree elements are called *nodes*. Each of the nodes that is one graph-edge further away from a given node is called a *child*, i.e the vertices adjacent to the root vertex are called its children.A rooted tree naturally imparts a notion of *Levels* (distance from the root), thus for every node a notion of children may be defined as the nodes connected to it a level below. Nodes without children are called *leaf nodes, end-Nodes, leaves*.

A *walk* on a graph is an alternating series of vertices and edges beginning and ending with a vertex in which each edge is incident with the vertex immediately preceding it and the vertex immediately following it.A *trail* is a walk in which all edges are distinct. A *path* is a trail in which all vertices are distinct. A path has a *Sequences of Vertices*. In a search tree, a *Discrete Partition* or *Individualization-Refinement Path* is a path which starts at the root and ends in a leaf [**1**].

A subset B of a group is called generating set, if the smallest subgroup containing the subset is the group S_n itself. We write, $\langle B \rangle = S_n$. A generating set is called minimal generating, if the set does not properly contain any generating set.

2 Overview

We define graphs G, H as *regular, connected,* and not *locally triangle free.* If $G \simeq H$ then H must have the same structure like G. We will rearrange G according to **2.1**. This rearrangement will split G in to vertex set $G_1, G_2....G_x$. In this paper, Graphs are not locally triangle-free. We will construct a search tree $\mathcal{T}_k$ for H_k. The search tree $\mathcal{T}_k$ will provide a set of permutations which will create the set β_k. We will construct generating set of automorphsim from β_k. The algorithm uses the same technique to find automorphism as [6].

2.1 *Rearrangement* of a Graph:

Consider any vertex of G, say v. We label v with the integer n (put n on it, as label). We use subscript n to denote the n labeled vertex and write v_n. Now, construct neighbourhood induced sub-graph $N_G[v_n]$ (closed neighbourhood). We rewrite $N_G[v_n]$ as G_1 i.e. $G_1 = N_G[v_n]$. Now, select any unlabeled vertex from G_1 and label it with $(n-1)$. The vertex with label $(n-1)$ would be denoted as $v_{(n-1)}$. Now, construct induced sub-graph $N_{G_1}(v_{(n-1)})$ and label any vertex of it as $v_{(n-2)}$.

We repeat the above procedure again. Label an unlabeled vertex (i.e. an unlabeled vertex of $G \setminus G_1$) as $v_{(n-3)}$ and obtain G_2 based on adjacency (neighbourhood) of veretex $v_{(n-3)}$. Using the same procedure, we would be able to obtain $G_3, G_4, ...G_{(n/2)}$ subgraphs and to label all vertices of G. Thus G could be splitted into $(n/3)$ subgraphs.

There is a bijection from the vertex set of G to a set $L = \{1, 2..n\}$ of labels.

Now, let us define, a n-tuple (a sequence or ordered list of n vertices) w_G where vertices are ordered according to their labels (e.g. vertex labelled with label 1 is in 1^{st} position in w_G).

w_G is our desired arrangement (ordered) of graph G. For some k, G_k has vertices from i_k to j_k of w_G where $(i_k + 2 = j_k)$. Here, i_k is the starting position of k^{th} subgraph G_k in w_G and j_k is the ending position of k^{th} subgraph G_k in w_G. Subscript k is used to distinguish i, j for the k^{th} subgraph G_k from other subgraphs. For example, if $k = 1$, then G_1 has vertices from $(n-2)$ to n in w_G, here $i_1 = (n-2)$ and $j_1 = n$.

2.2 Construction of *Search Tree* for Generating Set :

We have rearranged G (one of the two given graphs G and H). Now, we will construct permutations for H with respect to G. Conversely, permutations can be generated for G with respect to H using procedure described in this subsection.

We label each vertex of H uniquely with elements from the same set $L = \{1, 2, ...n\}$. This labeling procedure is random. It must make sure that there is a bijection from the vertex set of H to the label set L.

w_H is a n-tuple (a sequence of n vertices) where vertices are ordered according to their labels . The definition of w_H is similar to w_G, except it is defined

for graph H.

In w_G, position starts from left to right, so the subgraph G_k starts at i_k^{th} position and ends at j_k^{th} where $n > j_k > i_k$.

We define the subgraph H_k of H, which has consecutive vertices from i_k^{th} position to j_k^{th} position in w_H. Here, i_k and j_k have the same value as they had in G_k. So, H_k has 3 vertices too.

If $G_k \simeq H_k$ then $\exists \pi_k$ such that $H_k^{\pi_k} = G_k$. Permutation π_k moves vertices of H to the interval between i_k^{th} position and j_k^{th} position, so that $H_k^{\pi_k} = G_k$. So, we construct a search tree $\mathcal{T}_k$ for constructing all possible permutations which could be π_k. We will follow the construction method of G_k described in **2.1**, when we construct all possible permutations that could be π_k.

Let us define a rooted tree $\mathcal{T}_k$ (for H_k) , its nodes are labelled vertices of H. The children of root will be all possible candidates (vertices) for j_k^{th} position of H which could be v_{j_k} where v_{j_k} is the j_k^{th} vertex of w_G. Since π_k moves (or fixes) a vertex of H to the j_k^{th} position of H such that $H_k^{\pi_k} = G_k$, consider all possible vertices that could be the vertex v_{j_k} of $G_k \subset G$. All n vertices of H could be the j_k^{th} vertex of G. So, at level 1 , the children of root would be all n vertices of H. It means, each node of 1^{st} level, has a unique label in $\mathcal{T}_k$. Thus, we have a bijection form H to the nodes of 1^{st} level of $\mathcal{T}_k$. Let, t_{k_1} is a node of level 1 of $\mathcal{T}_k$.

Each node of $t_{k_1} \in \mathcal{T}_k$ is related to a vertex, say u_l in H $(1 \leq l \leq n)$. All vertices that are adjacent to u_l make a subgraph, say $\mathbf{A_H}$.

The children nodes of $t_{k_1} \in \mathcal{T}_k$ will be all vertices of subgraph $\mathbf{A_H}$. Repeat previous procedure $\forall t_{k_1} \in \mathcal{T}_k$. Thus we obtain all nodes of the 2^{nd} level of $\mathcal{T}_k$.

We repeat the procedure for all H_k graphs until we find all possible leaf node of $\mathcal{T}_k$. Thus, we construct the search tree $\mathcal{T}_k$. Note that, each level represents a position in w_H of H, for example, in $\mathcal{T}_k$ of H_k, 1^{st} level represents the j_k^{th} position. We construct x such trees.

The height of the $\mathcal{T}_k$ is 2 . Note that an individualization-refinement path or discrete partition of $\mathcal{T}_k$ is a permutation of $H_k \subset H$ (concept of [**1**]). All such paths, i.e. permutations create the set β_k. There will be total x number of β_k.

For example, if a *path* is $5, 8, 9$ (where $5, 8, 9$ represent the labeled vertices of H) then, $\pi_k = \begin{pmatrix} j_k & (j_k - 1) & (j_k - 2) & = i_k \\ 5 & 8 & 9 & \end{pmatrix}$.

It means, π_k is a permutation that moves 5^{th} vertex of w_H to j_k^{th} vertex,8^{th} vertex of w_H to $(j_k - 1)^{th}$ vertex, and 9^{th} vertex of w_H to $(j_k - 2)^{th}$ vertex.

3 Propositions

If $G \simeq H$, then $\exists \pi_k \in \beta_k$ such that $H_k^{\pi_k} = G_k$. For each subgraph H_k, we have found a set of permutations β_k(from **2.2**). We would be able to construct the direct product P such that $H^p = G$ *if and only if* $H \simeq G$. If we fail to construct such P, it implies that $H \not\simeq G$.

Proposition 3.1 : $|\beta_k| < n^3$.

Proposition 3.2 : Given two graphs G, H with n vertices each, deciding whether they are isomorphic is *polynomial time equivalent* to determining generating sets of automorphism group of graphs G, H .

Proof: See [3].■

So,to decide graph isomorphism of G, H, it is sufficient to construct generating sets of automorphism group of graphs G, H.

Proposition 3.3 : Let S_n be the finite group of order $n!$, There is a subset of elements of S_n of size at most $\log_2(n!)$ which generates S_n.

Proof: The proof is similar to the Lemma 1 of [4] on page 3.■

From now on, G, H are adjacency matrices of graphs G, H respectively. H_k, G_k are blocks or sub-matrices of matrix H, G respectively. The adjacency matrix of graph $H_k \cup H_e$ is $M_{(k,e)}$ where $M_{(k,e)} = \begin{pmatrix} H_e & R_{k,e} \\ R_{k,e}^T & H_k \end{pmatrix}$, where, $R_{k,e}$ is the non symmetric sub-matrix of adjacency matrix H. Here, $R_{k,e}$ represents edges between H_k, H_e. Similarly, $S_{k,e}$ represents edges between G_k, G_e.

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{(x)} & R_{(x,x-1)} & R_{(x,x-2)} & \cdots & \cdots & R_{(x,1)} \\ R_{(x,x-1)} & H_{(x-1)} & R_{(x-1,x-2)} & \cdots & \cdots & R_{(x-1,1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{(x,1)} & R_{(x-1,1)} & R_{(x-2,1)} & \cdots & \cdots & H_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 3.4 : Generating set of automorphism group of graph H can be constructed in polynomial time if H is not locally triangle-free as defined above .

Proof: An algorithmic proof is presented here. At 1^{st} iteration -

Step 1. Construct all possible direct product $(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)$ where $\pi_1 \in \beta_1$ and $\pi_2 \in \beta_2$.

There are $|\beta_1| \times |\beta_2| < n^9$ direct products (permutations). All these permutations (direct products) form set γ_1. Each element of γ_1 is a permutation that acts on graph $H_1 \cup H_2$.

Step 2. Construct/find -

$\alpha_1 = \{\pi \in \gamma_1 | (M_{(1,2)}^{\pi} = M_{(1,2)}) \wedge (R_{1,2}^{\pi} = S_{1,2}) \wedge (H_1^{\pi} = G_1) \wedge (H_2^{\pi} = G_2)\}$

α_1 is the set of automorphisms of matrix $M_{(1,2)}$. $|\alpha_1| < n^9$.There are two possible cases-

Case 1: If $|\alpha_1| = 1$, then for each $\pi_1 \in \beta_1$, there is only one permutation $\pi_2 \in \beta_2$. So, there could be maximum n^2 permutations in γ_1 but only one permutation could be included in α_1.

Case 2: If $|\alpha_1| > 1$, we would be able to construct a generating set $\mathcal{S}_1$ of an automorphism group of $Aut(M_{(1,2)})$ Note, that if $\exists \pi_a \in Aut(H)$ such that it acts on vertices of $H_1 \cup H_2$, then $\pi_a \in \langle \mathcal{S}_1 \rangle = Aut(M_{(1,2)})$. So, when we construct direct product of $\mathcal{S}_1$ and another set, π_a can be found in the resulting generating set. This concept is similar to *extending an automorphism* described in [6]. Also, see Theorem 7, on page 31 of [5].The theorem showed how to obtain the automorphism group of an arbitrary graph from the intersection of a specific permutation group with a direct product of symmetric groups.

Step 3. Now, we construct the generating set $\mathcal{S}_1$ from α_1. This construction of generating set can be done in polynomial time (see [5], page 40, theorem 9). From proposition 3.3, we find that $|\mathcal{S}_1| \leq log(n!)$. $\mathcal{S}_1$ is the generating set of automorphism of $M_{(1,2)}$.

Step 4. We start 2^{nd} iteration, for $\beta_3, \mathcal{S}_1$ (instead of β_2), $M_{(2,3)}$ where $M_{(2,3)} = \begin{pmatrix} H_3 & R_{2,3} \\ R_{2,3}^T & H_2 \end{pmatrix}$. We find γ_2, α_2 repeating steps $1, 2$ and construct $\mathcal{S}_2$ (repeating step 3) which is the generating set of automorphism of $M_{(2,3)}$, i.e. graph $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3$. Note that, $|\mathcal{S}_2| \leq log(n!)$.

Step 5. We keep repeating above four processes, until we find the set $\mathcal{S}_{(x-1)}$ which is the generating set of automorphism of graph $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3 \cdots \cup H_x = H$. Note that, $|\mathcal{S}_{(x-1)}| \leq log(n!)$, since $\langle \mathcal{S}_{(x-1)} \rangle = Aut(H) \leq S_n$. ■

4 Conclusion

We repeat the process of construction of $\mathcal{S}_{(x-1)}$ for graph G and obtain set $\mathcal{R}_{(x-1)}$. Once we generate generating sets of G, H, we can decide isomorphism betwen them (3.2). The algorithm does not solve graph isomorphism problem in polynomial time if graphs are *locally triangle-free*. If the sub-matrix of edges is not a zero matrix then the problem reduces down to *Bipartite Graph Isomorphism Problem*. We can use the same approach there as above. This should lead to a practical solution which is the core idea of practical graph isomorphism **[1]**.

References

[1] McKay, B.D. and Piperno, A. , Practical Graph Isomorphism, II, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 60 (2014), pp. 94-112.

[2] Babai, Laszlo; Luks, Eugene M. ,Canonical labeling of graphs, Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC '83).

[3] Mathon, Rudolf. ,A note on the graph isomorphism counting problem, Inform. Process. Lett. 8 (1979), no. 3, 131132.

[4] Miller, Gary L. ,On the $n^{\log_2(n)}$ Isomorphism Technique.

[5] Hoffmann, Christoph M. ,Group-Theoretic Algorithms and Graph Isomorphism.

[6] Luks , Eugene M. , Isomorphism of graphs of bounded valence can be tested in polynomial time, Journal of Computer and System Sciences, Volume 25, Issue 1, (1982), Pages 42-65.